DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California SUSAN K. MEADOWS Deputy Attorney General 3 State Bar No. 115092 KERRY WEISEL Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 127522 50 Fremont Street, Suite 300 San Francisco, California 94105-2239 Telephone: (415) 356-6282 (Meadows) Telephone: (510) 286-4111 (Weisel) 7 FAX: (415) 356-6257 8 Attorneys for Complainant Medical Board of California 10 11 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 12 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 In the Matter of the No. D-5282 15 Accusation and Petition to OAH No: N 08115 Revoke Probation Against 16 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IN JOHN PARKINSON, M.D. RESOLUTION OF COST RECOVERY 17 1900 Pennyslvania Ave. Bus. & Prof. Code §125.3 Fairfield CA 94533 18 Physician's and Surgeon's 19 Certificate No. C22576 20 Respondent. 21 22 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between John Parkinson (hereinafter "respondent") with the counsel and advice 24 of his attorney, Wayne D. Skigen, of the law firm Levine & Skigen, and complainant Ronald Joseph, in his official capacity 26 as Executive Director of the Medical Board of California 27 ("Board"), by and through its attorney, Daniel E. Lungren,

Attorney General, by Susan Meadows, Deputy Attorney General and Kerry Weisel, Deputy Attorney General as follows:

4 ·

- 1. Second Supplemental Accusation No. D-5282 regarding recovery of costs pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3 is presently pending against respondent, physician's and surgeon's certificate number C-22576, before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter referred to as the "Board").
- 2. The complainant in said Second Supplemental Accusation, Dixon Arnett, was the Executive Director of the Board at the time the accusation was filed and brought said Second Supplemental Accusation in his official capacity only.
- 3. Respondent has fully discussed with his attorney, Wayne Skigen, the contents of the Second Supplemental Accusation and therefore has been fully advised concerning his rights in this matter. A true and correct copy of the Second Supplemental Accusation is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit A.
- 4. On or about January 20, 1995, Administrative Law Judge Jonathan Lew issued a proposed decision on the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. D-5282 and the First Supplemental Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. D-5282. That proposed decision revoked petitioner's license to practice medicine and was adopted by the Board in its entirety on February 8, 1995. In the Decision revoking petitioner's license, Judge Lew held that the issue of cost recovery, which was requested by complainant in the

Second Supplemental Accusation, be deferred and taken up at a separate administrative hearing after issuance of the decision revoking respondent's license.

- 5. A hearing on the Second Supplemental Accusation was scheduled for January 8, 1996 before Judge Lew at the Office of Administrative Hearings. The sole issue pending before Judge Lew was the amount of investigative and prosecution costs that should be awarded to the Board pursuant to Section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code. Complainant provided certifications requesting \$193,138.67 for the cost of investigation and prosecution of the matter.
- 6. Prior to taking testimony on the issue, the parties reached a settlement agreement with respect to respondent's monetary obligations to the Board under Business and Professions Code section 125.3.
- 7. Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily waives his right to a hearing on the Second Supplemental Accusation, and further, respondent agrees to waive his right to reconsideration, judicial review and any and all other rights which may be accorded him by the Administrative Procedure Act and other laws of the State of California.
- 8. Respondent further stipulates that the Board has jurisdiction under section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code to adopt this settlement agreement as its decision and final resolution of this matter.
- 9. BASED UPON THE FOREGOING RECITALS, IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the Medical Board of California, upon

its adoption of the Settlement Agreement herein set forth, may, without further notice, prepare a decision as follows:

- A. Respondent shall pay the Board, in full satisfaction of his obligations under section 125.3, the sum of \$80,000 (eighty thousand dollars) to be paid as follows:
- (1) The sum of \$20,000 (twenty thousand dollars) shall be paid to the Board either, (1) on the first day of the month following the service by mail of the Notice of Entry of Judgment in the case entitled Parkinson, M.D. v. Medical Board of California, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 970171, or (2) within 20 days after service of the Notice of Entry of Judgment in the above cited action, whichever date is later. This payment and any and all future payments shall be made payable to the Medical Board of California, and shall be mailed or delivered to David Thornton, Medical Board of California, 1426 Howe Avenue, Suite 93, Sacramento, California, 95825-3236
- (2) After the first installment is paid as set forth in paragraph 9 (a) (1), respondent shall pay the remaining balance by making 8 quarterly installments of \$7,500 (seventy-five hundred dollars) to be paid over a two year period. The first of these quarterly installments shall be due on the first day of whatever month is three full months after the initial \$20,000 payment is due. That is, if the initial \$20,000 payment is due on the first quarterly installment shall be due on the first day of the third following month; if the initial \$20,000 payment is due on a day other than the first day of a month, then the first quarterly installment

shall be due on the first day of the fourth following month.

Each subsequent quarterly installment shall be due on the first day of the month in which the payment is due.

- (3) If any of the above payments is more than 15 days late, then interest shall accrue from the date the payment was due at the rate of 10 percent interest per annum compounded daily on the amount that is in arrears.
- (4) In the event that any of the above installments, including compounded interest, if applicable, is more than twenty days overdue, the Board, upon giving respondent written notice, shall have the right to enforce this settlement agreement pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3.
- shall be deemed null and void only if the Superior Court in the case entitled <u>Parkinson, M.D. v. Medical Board of California</u>, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 970171 remands the action back to the Medical Board of California with a directive that each and every finding of fact, conclusion of law, and penalty as set forth in the Board's Decision dated February 8, 1995 in Case No. D-5282 be vacated and reinstatement of respondent's physician's and surgeon's certificate is ordered.
- (6) The parties agree that this agreement shall remain in full force and effect even if respondent should seek appellate review of the Board's disciplinary order and decision and the appellate court upholds any of the findings of fact or conclusions of law of Decision No. D-5282. If the appellate court should vacate all of the Board's findings and hold that

respondent committed no violation of the Medical Practice Act, then the parties agree that the return of any monies that respondent has already paid to the Board under this settlement agreement shall be left to the Court of Appeals' discretion. 10. IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the terms set forth herein shall be null and void, and in no way binding upon the parties hereto, unless and until accepted by the Medical Board of California. Respondent further agrees that in addition to submitting this settlement agreement to the Board for acceptance, complainant's counsel may also submit a memorandum recommending the agreement's adoption. Respondent further agrees that the memorandum recommending adoption shall not, under any circumstances, be discoverable or disclosed to respondent. January //, 1996 DATED: DANIEL E. LUNGREN State of Californ

Attorney General of the

Susan K. Meadows Kerry Weisel Deputy Attorneys General

Attorneys for Complainant

anuary 22,1

Wayne D Skigen

for Responden

27

1

2

3

4.

5

6

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have read this Settlement

1	Agreement in its entirety, that I fully understand the terms of
2	the Settlement Agreement, and I voluntarily agree to them.
3	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I affix my signature this 23 day
4	of Jan, 1996 at San Francisco, California.
5	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$
6	JAM 1
7	John E. Parkinson Respondent
8	
9	
10	DECISION AND ORDER
11	The above Settlement Agreement is adopted and shall
12	become the decision of the Medical Board of California, Division
13	of Medical Quality effective <u>FEBRUARY 5</u> , 1996.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED this 5th day of FEBRUARY, 1996.
15	
16	Aulus Im
17	Division of Medical Quality Medical Board of California
18	Medical board of California
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

EXHIBIT A

25

26

27

reference as if fully set forth.

```
DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
 1
      of the State of California
 2
    SUSAN K. MEADOWS, State Bar No. 115092
    KERRY WEISEL, State Bar No. 127522
 3
      Deputy Attorneys General
    455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 6200
    San Francisco, California 94102-3658
    Telephone: Meadows: (415) 703-2509
 5
    Attorneys for Complainant
 7
                  BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
                        MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
 8
                            STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 9
    In the Matter of the Accusation
                                             No. D-5282
    and Petition to Revoke Probation
                                             OAH No. N 08115
11
    Against:
12
    John Parkinson, M.D.
                                             SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL
    1900 Pennsylvania Ave,
                                             ACCUSATION AND PETITION
    Fairfield, CA 94533
                                             TO REVOKE PROBATION
    Physician's and Surgeon's
    Certificate No. C22576,
14
15
                   Respondent.
16
17
18
              Complainant Dixon Arnett further supplements the
19
    accusation and petition to revoke probation and the first
20
    supplemental accusation and petition to revoke probation as
21
    follows:
22
              1. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 8,
23
    inclusive, of the accusation and petition to revoke probation
24
    filed in this matter are incorporated in this document by
```

125.3(a), the board may request the administrative law judge to

Under Business and Professions Code section

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

.18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

- 3. Under Business and Professions Code section 125.3(c), these costs shall include the amount of investigative and enforcement costs up to the date of the hearing, including, but not limited to, charges imposed by the Attorney General.
- 4. Under Business and Professions Code section 125.3(d), when requested, the administrative law judge shall make a proposed finding of the amount of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of a case.

WHEREFORE complainant requests that the Board hold a hearing on the matters alleged in the accusation and petition to revoke probation and the first supplemental accusation and petition to revoke probation and, after the hearing, issue an order suspending or revoking respondent's physician and surgeon's certificate No. C-22576, awarding costs of investigation and prosecution as proved at the hearing pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, and taking such other and further action as is deemed just and proper.

DATED: 17/94

DIXON ARNETT

Executive Director

Medical Board of California

State of California

Complainant

26 27

03573160SF92AD0408 parkinson.su2